

THE VARTETY OF ASSIGNMENTS ANDAT ELEKY OTHER WEEK WAS HIM
"OPEN" POEM. MANAGE AELE LVORK LUAD AND ENOUGH TIME TO GET TO DONE.

Policina was a result of the second of the s	
LOR COUNTY TO HAVE SINGLED	



This has been the most helpful and enjoyable writing workshyp I've taken thus far. I would be curious to see more of our professor's writing.
I would be curious to see more of our professor's writing.



Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

Susan is very dedicated to poetry and never limited. She is sufficiently able to reach out to us with our own particular styles and loves. She conducts her classroom calmly, leisurely, and amiably. Everyone feels comfortable, which is important in a course of this private nature. I would suggest, though, that she grade our poems tentatively—even if she is looking to
the portfolio at the end—so we are better
able to calculate our progress. She seems
a very down-to-earth lady with specific goals: She has my recommendation.

Fall 2009/Somers-Willet/ENWR 412-01

Item Statistics:

	Q1	Q2···	·	1111 Q4	Q5	1	
Sample Size	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	1.17	1.33	1.17	1.00	1.17	1.17	1.33
Variance	0.17	0.27	0.17	0.00	0.17	0.17	0.27
Standard Deviation	0.41	0.52	0.41	0.00	0.41	0.41	0.52
Standard Error	0.17	0.21	0.17	0.00	0.17	0.17	0.21
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Median	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Range	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Sum	7.00	8.00	7.00	6.00	7.00	7.00	8.00
Sum of Squares	9.00	12.00	9.00	6.00	9.00	9.00	12.00
Skewness	-42.01	-30.98	-42.01	-	-42.01	-42.01	-30.98
Kurtosis	-320.13	-213.33	-320.13	-	-320.13	<i>-</i> 320.13	-2 13.33
T Value	7.00	6.32	7.00	-	7.00	7.00	6.32
Mean Abs. Dev.	1.17	1.33	1.17	1.00	1.17	1.17	1.33
Percentile (25)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (50)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (75)	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00
Inter Qrt. Range	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00
Con. Interval (1%)	0.49	0.48	0.49	1.00	0.49	0.49	0.48
Con. Interval (5%)	0.74	0.79	0.74	1.00	0.74	0.74	0.79
Con. Interval (95%)	1.60	1.88	1.60	1.00	1.60	1.60	1.88
Con. Interval (99%)	1.84	2.18	1.84	1.00	1.84	1.84	2.18

Fall 2009/Some/s-Willet/ENWR 412-01

	Q8	Q9 :	Q10	. Q11	Q12		Q14
Sample Size	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	1.67	1.17	1.33	1.17	1.50	2.33	1.83
Variance	0.67	0.17	0.27	0.17	0.30	0.67	0.97
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.41	0.52	0.41	0.55	0.82	0.98
Standard Error	0.33	0.17	0.21	0.17	0.22	0.33	0.40
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	3.00
Median	1.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.50	2.50	1.50
Range	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00
Sum	10.00	7.00	8.00	7.00	9.00	14.00	11.00
Sum of Squares	20.00	9.00	12.00	9.00	15.00	36.00	25.00
Skewness	-15.31	-42.01	-30.98	-42.01	-36.97	-42.01	-11.67
Kurtosis	-83.33	-320.13	-213.33	-320.13	-270.00	-320.13	-58.03
T Value	5.00	7.00	6.32	7.00	6.71	7.00	4.57
Mean Abs. Dev.	1.67	1.17	1.33	1.17	1.50	2.33	1.83
Percentile (25)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00
Percentile (50)	1.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.50	2.50	1.50
Percentile (75)	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	3.00
Inter Qrt. Range	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	2.00
Con. Interval (1%)	0.32	0.49	0.48	0.49	0.60	0.99	0.21
Con. Interval (5%)	0.81	0.74	0.79	0.74	0.93	1.48	0.80
Con. Interval (95%)	2.52	1.60	1.88	1.60	2.07	3.19	2.87
Con. Interval (99%)	3.01	1.84	2.18	1.84	2.40	3.68	3.45

Fall 2009/Some. J-WMLVERWR 412-01


	Q15	Q16	- Q17	- Q18	- 4 C Q19 - 7 T	Q20	Q21
Sample Size	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	1.50	1.17	1.33	1.00	1.33	1.00	1.00
Variance	0.30	0.17	0.27	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.00
Standard Deviation	0.55	0.41	0.52	0.00	0.52	0.00	0.00
Standard Error	0.22	0.17	0.21	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.00
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.00
Median	1.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Range	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
Sum	9.00	7.00	8.00	6.00	8.00	6.00	6.00
Sum of Squares	15.00	9.00	12.00	6.00	12.00	6.00	6.00
Skewness	-36.97	-42.01	-30.98	-	-30.98	-	-
Kurtosis	-270.00	-320.13	-213.33	-	-213.33	-	-
T Value	6.71	7.00	6.32	-	6.32	-	-
Mean Abs. Dev.	1.50	1.17	1.33	1.00	1.33	1.00	1.00
Percentile (25)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (50)	1.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (75)	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.00
Inter Qrt. Range	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
Con. Interval (1%)	0.60	0.49	0.48	1.00	0.48	1.00	1.00
Con. Interval (5%)	0.93	0.74	0.79	1.00	0.79	1.00	1.00
Con. Interval (95%)	2.07	1.60	1.88	1.00	1.88	1.00	1.00
Con. Interval (99%)	2.40	1.84	2.18	1.00	2.18	1.00	1.00

Fall 2009/Somers-Willet/ENWR 412-01

	Q22	Q23 -	elass rank :	missed classes	expected grade
Sample Size	6	6	6	3	6
Number Missing	0	0	0	3	0
Mean	1.17	1.50	3.83	1.00	1.17
Variance	0.17	0.70	0.17	0.00	0.17
Standard Deviation	0.41	0.84	0.41	0.00	0.41
Standard Error	0.17	0.34	0.17	0.00	0.17
Minimum	1.00	1.00	3.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	2.00	3.00	4.00	1.00	2.00
Median	1.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	1.00
Range	1.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	1.00
Sum	7.00	9.00	23.00	3.00	7.00
Sum of Squares	9.00	17.00	89.00	3.00	9.00
Skewness	-42.01	-10.37	-1490.15	-	-42.01
Kurtosis	-320.13	- 49.59	-37312.13	-	-320.13
T Value	7.00	4.39	23.00	-	7.00
Mean Abs. Dev.	· 1.17	1.50	3.83	2.00	1.17
Percentile (25)	1.00	1.00	4.00	0.00	1.00
Percentile (50)	1.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (75)	1.00	2.00	4.00	0.00	1.00
Inter Qrt. Range	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Con. Interval (1%)	0.49	0.12	3.16	1.00	0.49
Con. Interval (5%)	0.74	0.62	3.40	1.00	0.74
Con. Interval (95%)	1.60	2.38	4.26	1.00	1.60
Con. Interval (99%)	1.84	2.88	4.51	1.00	1.84


Item Analysis: The instructor was knowledgeable about the subject of the course.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	5	83.33
Agree	2	1	16.67
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor was always well prepared and organized for class.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	4	66.67
Agree	2	2	33.33
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor gave clear and reasonable course objectives and requirements.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	5	83.33
Agree	2	1	16.67
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor required a manageable amount of course work.

Label .	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	6	100.00
Agree	2	0	0.00
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor successfully clarified and explained difficult materials and topics.

Label	Value,	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	5	83.33
Agree	2	1	16.67
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	. 0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00



Item Analysis: The instructor seemed to know when students did not understand something.


Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	5	83.33
Agree	2	1	16.67
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00



Fall 2005/Somers-Willet/ENWR 412-01


Item Analysis: The instructor gave me helpful feedback on my work.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	4	66.67
Agree	2	2	33.33
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid	_	. 6	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor was available outside of class to speak with me.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	3	50.00
Agree	2	2	33.33
Neutral	3	1	16.67
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid	_	6	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor created an atmosphere of mutual respect conducive to learning.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	5	83.33
Agree	2	1	16.67
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor helped me integrate what I learned to my experiences outside class.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	4	66.67
Agree	2	2	33.33
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	. 0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00




Item Analysis: The instructor returned written work promptly.

Label	Value:	Frequency 🕡	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	5	83.33
Agree	2	1	16.67
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00




Item Analysis: Tests and assignments reflected what was taught.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	3	50.00
Agree	2	3	50.00
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	О	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00




Item Analysis: Tests and assignments were graded fairly.

Label.	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	1	16.67
Agree	2	2	33.33
Neutral	3	3	50.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00




Item Analysis: I became enthusiastic as a result of my instructors presentation.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	3	50.00
Agree	2	1	16.67
Neutral	3	2	33.33
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00




Item Analysis: I was motivated to work hard by what we did in class.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	3	50.00
Agree	2	3	50.00
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00




Item Analysis: I did not have trouble understanding when my instructor spoke.

Label	Value.	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	5	83.33
Agree	2	1	16.67
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00




Item Analysis: I was encouraged to think critically about what we were studying.

Label	Value	Frequency •	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	4	66.67
Agree	2	2	33.33
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00



Item Analysis: I felt comfortable asking questions in class.


Maria Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	6	100.00
Agree	2	0	0.00
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00



Fail 2009/Somers-Willet/ENWR 412-01


Item Analysis: I was challenged intellectually by this class.

Cabel	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	4	66.67
Agree	2	2	33.33
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00




Item Analysis: I felt that my instructor really cared about student progress.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	6	100.00
Agree	2	0	0.00
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid	-	6	100.00



Item Analysis: I felt free to express myself in class.


Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	6	100.00
Agree	2	0	0.00
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00



Fail 2009/Somers-Willet/ENWR 412-01


Item Analysis: I had my interest in the subject matter stimulated by this class.

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	5	83.33
Agree	2	1	16.67
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00




Item Analysis: I would recommend this course to other students.

Astronomy Label 7	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	4	66.67
Agree	2	1	16.67
Neutral	3	1	16.67
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	О	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00



Item Analysis: I am a (Freshman, Sophornore, Junior, Senior, Graduate)


Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Freshman	1	0	0.00
Sophomore	2	0	0.00
Junior	3	1	16.67
Senior	4	5	83.33
Graduate	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00



Fall 2009/Somers-Willet/ENWR 412-01

Item Analysis: I missed class(es) during the semester.

Label	Value*	Frequency	Percent
1	1	3	50.00
2	2	0	0.00
3	3	0	0.00
4	4	0	0.00
5+	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		3	50.00
Total Missing	-1	3	50.00
Total		6	100.00



Item Analysis: I expect my grade to be.

ith was a Laber.	Value	Frequency	Percent
A	1	5	83.33
В	2	1	16.67
С	3	0	0.00
D	4	0	0.00
F	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		6	100.00

